City	City of London: Projects Procedure Corporate Risks Register																						
Project Name: Unique project identifier:			Barbican and Golden Lane Area HSP					PM's overall risk rating:	Low		CRP requested this gateway	£ -		unm	Average unmitigated risk					Open Risk		7	
						Total	estimated cost (exc risk):	Total CRP used to date			Averag			e mitigated risk score		4.0			Closed Risk		0		
	ral risk clas Gateway		Description of the Risk	Risk Impact Description	Likelihood Classificatio n pre- mitigation	Impact Classificatio n pre- mitigation		Costed impact premitigation (£)	Costed Risk Provision requested Y/N	Confidence in the estimation	Mitigation actions Mitigating actions	Mitigation cost (£)	on post-		Costed impact post- mitigation (£)		CRP used to date	Use of CRP	Ownership Date raised	Named Departmental Risk		Date Closed OR/ Realised & moved to Issues	Comment(s)
R1	2	(4) Contractual/Part nership	Some or all of the data collection exercise cannot be completed due to survey companies having no available capacity at this time	Delay and possible increased cost to project programme	Unlikely	Serious	4	£0.00			Procure the surveys as an open tender to increase the possibility of a company able to undertake the surveys, and complete the procurement exercise as early as possible to increase the likelihood of companies having spare capacity	£0.00	Unlikel	y Seriou	£0.00	4	£0.00		30/09/2021	Leah Coburn	Kristian Turner		Consideration needs to be give to the impacts of Covid-19, which may change traffic and pedestrian flows
R2	2	(4) Contractual/Part nership	Issues or delays in required consent from TfL on the traffic and pedestrian modelling	Delay and possible increased cost to project programme	Possible	Serious	6	£0.00			Early and regular meetings with TfL to fully understand their consent requirements	£0.00	Unlikely	Serious	£0.00	4	£0.00		30/09/2021	Leah Coburn	Kristian Turner		Consideration needs to be given re TfL's response to Covid-19 impacts on staffing, etc.
R3	2	(1) Compliance/Reg ulatory	Modelling issues (results and implications, issues with the delivery, buy-in, required reruns etc)	Modelling will play a major role in defining this project and delivering the project's outcomes. Any issues could have many different and combined outcomes where additional resource may be required to rectify	Possible	Serious	6	£0.00			Regular contact between the traffic model consultants, TfL and City of London to ensure early notification of any arising issues or implications	£0.00	Unlikely	Serious	£0.00	4	£0.00		30/09/2021	Leah Coburn	Kristian Turner		
R4	2	(10) Physical	Covid-19 may impact traffic and pedestrian flows across the City/HSP area, making it difficult to undertake required data collection surveys, stakeholder engagement, etc.	Delays to overall project timeframes and delivery	Likely	Serious	8	£0.00			Continue to review ongoing situtation with relevant City teams, businesses, etc.	£0.00	Possible	Serious	£0.00	6	£0.00		30/09/2021	Leah Coburn	Kristian Turner		
R5	2	(1) Compliance/Reg ulatory	Change in political leadership within TfL or City Corporation	The project is no longer supported or withdrawn	Unlikely	Major	8	£0.00			Informing City of London members of progress and benefits of the project and identifying in Transport Strategy delivery plan	£0.00	Rare	Major	£0.00	4	£0.00		30/09/2021	Leah Coburn	Kristian Turner		
R6	2	(2) Financial	Insufficent funds to progress HSP or the project loses a funding source	the project	Possible	Serious	6	£0.00			Work closely with City's Planning Team to understand/identify upcoming developments within the project area	£0.00	Unlikely	Serious	£0.00	4	£0.00		30/09/2021	Leah Coburn	Kristian Turner		
R7	2	(1) Compliance/Reg ulatory	Brexit or external factors affect labour costs	Higher or lower costs of traffic surveys and traffic modelling than estimated		Serious	4	£0.00			Review costs at each stage of HSP developemnt	£0.00	Unlikely	Minor	£0.00	2	£0.00		30/09/2021	Leah Coburn	Kristian Turner		